

# **Credit Opinion: Sparebanken Sor**

Global Credit Research - 01 Apr 2015

Kristiansand, Norway

# **Ratings**

CategoryMoody's Rating<br/>Rating(s) Under<br/>ReviewBank Deposits\*A2/P-1Baseline Credit Assessment<br/>Adjusted Baseline Credit<br/>Assessmentbaa1

## **Contacts**

**Analyst** Phone Efthymia Tsotsani/London 44.20.7772.5454

Kim Bergoe/London Sean Marion/London

## **Key Indicators**

# Sparebanken Sor (Consolidated Financials)[1]

	[2] <b>12-14</b>	[2]12-13	[2] <b>12-12</b>	[2] <b>12-11</b>	[2] <b>12-10</b>	Avg.
Total Assets (NOK billion)	94.1	45.8	44.1	40.5	36.9	[3] <b>26.4</b>
Total Assets (EUR million)	10,367.9	5,473.8	6,013.5	5,229.1	4,731.3	[3] <b>21.7</b>
Total Assets (USD million)	12,545.7	7,542.6	7,928.1	6,788.1	6,347.2	[3] <b>18.6</b>
Tangible Common Equity (NOK billion)	7.1	3.1	2.8	2.6	2.4	[3] <b>31.4</b>
Tangible Common Equity (EUR million)	786.7	372.1	383.1	332.2	307.4	[3] <b>26.5</b>
Tangible Common Equity (USD million)	951.9	512.8	505.1	431.3	412.4	[3] <b>23.3</b>
Problem Loans / Gross Loans (%)	1.8	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.7	[4] <b>0.9</b>
Tangible Common Equity / Risk Weighted Assets (%)	13.2	12.0	11.4	11.2	11.4	F . 3
Problem Loans / (Tangible Common Equity + Loan Loss	18.4	9.2	7.2	6.7	8.2	[4]10.0
Reserve) (%)						
Net Interest Margin (%)	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.3	[4] <b>1.4</b>
PPI / Average RWA (%)	2.3	1.8	1.8	1.4	1.8	[5] <b>1.8</b>
Net Income / Tangible Assets (%)	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.7	[4] <b>0.7</b>
Cost / Income Ratio (%)	42.1	37.3	36.3	42.8	37.3	[4] <b>39.2</b>
Market Funds / Tangible Banking Assets (%)	39.2	44.1	47.5	52.9	49.7	[4] <b>46.7</b>
Liquid Banking Assets / Tangible Banking Assets (%)	12.3	15.9	17.8	16.6	15.9	[4] <b>15.7</b>
Gross Loans / Total Deposits (%)	169.2	179.4	144.7	155.6	145.2	[4] <b>158.8</b>
Source: Moody's						

[1] All figures and ratios are adjusted using Moody's standard adjustments [2] Basel II; IFRS [3] Compound Annual Growth Rate based on IFRS reporting periods [4] IFRS reporting periods have been used for average calculation [5] Basel II & IFRS reporting periods have been used for average calculation

<sup>\*</sup> Rating(s) within this class was/were placed on review on March 17, 2015

## **Opinion**

#### **SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE**

On 17 March we placed Sparebanken Sor's A2 long-term deposit ratings under review for upgrade. The bank's baa1 baseline credit assessment (BCA), adjusted BCA and short-term ratings remain unchanged.

Sparebanken Sor's BCA primarily reflects its strong franchise on the southern coast of Norway and adequate capital levels. With the increase in size following the merger between Sparebanken Pluss and Sparebanken Sor (effective 2 January 2014), Moody's believes that that synergies could result from the merged bank being better able to service larger companies in its core area.

The review on the bank's long-term deposit ratings was prompted by the implementation of our new methodology for rating banks globally, and changes arising from the introduction of our advanced Loss Given Failure (LGF) analysis in combination with a lowering of our current systemic (government) support assumptions. LGF takes into account the risks faced by the different debt and deposit classes across the liability structure if the bank were to enter resolution.

## SPAREBANKEN SOR'S BCA IS SUPPORTED BY ITS 'VERY STRONG-' MACRO PROFILE

As a purely domestic bank, Sparebanken Sor's operating environment, and hence its Macro Profile is aligned with that of Norway at 'Very Strong-'. Norwegian banks benefit from operating in an affluent and developed country with very high economic, institutional and government financial strength as well as low susceptibility to event risk. The main risks to the system stem from a high level of household indebtedness and domestic banks' reliance on market funding. However, these risks are offset by the strength of households' ability to service debt, banks' adequate capitalisation and the relatively small size of the banking system compared to GDP.

## **Rating Drivers**

- Capital levels are adequate.
- Asset quality is weaker than that of domestic peers following portfolio review
- Profitability is supported by efficient operations, but re-pricing puts margins under pressure
- High reliance in market funding despite recent increase in the volume of deposits
- Large volume of deposits and junior debt results in the deposit ratings benefiting from a very low loss-given-failure rate and a likely two-notch uplift from the BCA
- Moderate probability of government support, resulting in no uplift for debt and deposit ratings from the BCA

## **Rating Outlook**

The review on Sparebanken Sor's long-term deposit ratings was prompted by changes arising from the implementation of our new methodology, and specifically our advanced Loss Given Failure analysis, which applies to those institutions subject to an operational resolution regime. While not subject to the EU's Bank Recovery and resolution Directive (BRRD), we expect that Norway - as a European Economic Area (EEA) member - will seek to introduce legislation or other tools that include mechanisms similar to those in the BRRD; our expectations are based on publicly stated comments from Norwegian authorities as well as the government's track record of mirroring EU banking regulations. Our advanced LGF analysis on Sparebanken Sor's long-term and short-term deposit ratings prompted the review for upgrade. The review will focus on the liability structure, in particular the amount of deposits, senior long-term debt outstanding and the amount of debt subordinated to it.

We consider the probability of government support for Sparebanken Sor's long-term deposits to be moderate, and we expect uplift of one notch from its unsupported level. We expect the long-term deposit rating to be upgraded by one notch.

## What Could Change the Rating - Up

As stated in the Summary Rating Rationale Sparebanken Sor's ratings are under review in response to the implementation of our new banking methodology.

#### What Could Change the Rating - Down

After the above-mentioned review is completed, future downward rating pressure could follow (1) indications that the 2013 merger with Sparebanken Pluss is negatively impacting the group's franchise and/or financial strength; (2) deterioration in asset quality and/or capitalisation to levels not commensurate with the current rating level.

#### **DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS**

#### ADEQUATE CAPITAL LEVELS

At end-December 2014, Sparebanken Sor had a tangible common equity (TCE) ratio of 13.15% under Basel III. The current capital levels compare well with other rated Norwegian savings banks, and we deem these metrics adequate relative to the bank's risk profile. The Capital score reflects this strength as well as the banks TCE-to-tangible banking assets ratio of 8% which is well within international standards.

#### ASSET QUALITY IS WEAKER THAN PEERS FOLLOWING PORTFOLIO REVIEW

Sparebanken Sor's problem loan ratio (impaired loans as a percentage of total loans) amounted to 1.8% at end-December 2014, which is above the Norwegian average. Since the merger with Sparebanken Pluss in 2013, the bank has taken several actions to improve credit quality and limit future losses of the merged entity. A comprehensive review of the corporate portfolio was carried out in 2014, which identified individual losses as well as a number of loss events which - when viewed in context - resulted in individual write-downs on individual loans. The Asset Risk score indicates that, overall, the bank's management of asset risk remains a relative strength for Sparebanken Sor but the bank is still somewhat vulnerable to less favourable economic conditions due to declining oil and gas prices.

Sparebanken Sor's book is dominated by retail loans, mostly in the form of mortgages representing over 66% of the total loan book at end-December 2014. This asset class has been more resilient historically, but we believe that its performance is sensitive to any rise interest rates and/or decline in house prices.

Sperbanken Sor's substantial exposure to the real estate and construction sectors (above 22% of gross loans at end-December 2014), has generated a significant proportion of the bank's problem loans over the years and has posed downside risks to future loan book performance. Furthermore, the bank's corporate book has significant single-borrower exposure, a common characteristic amongst Norwegian savings banks, and a feature that could heighten the extent and pace of any asset quality deterioration.

# PROFITABILITY IS SUPPORTED BY EFFICIENT OPERATIONS BUT RE-PRICING PUTS MARGINS UNDER PRESSURE

Sparebanken Sor receives stable income from lending: net interest income represented over 75% of its 2014 net revenue. In 2014, this source of income grew by 4.7%, supported by good loan growth (about 4.5% pro-forma) notwithstanding two interest rate reductions on mortgages in April and October. The bank announced plans for further reductions in the mortgage interest rate (up to 40bps which should take effect in March 2015 for existing loans); and while deposit rates will be adjusted on a selective basis in order to partly mitigate the effect of the reduced mortgage lending rates, we expect the bank to experience increasing pressure on net interest margins.

Sparebanken Sor's cost efficiency is among the best in the Nordic region, with cost-to-income ratio at 39.2% at end-December 2014 (adjusted for merger costs), compared to 41.7% in 2013 (pro-forma). Following the aforementioned merger, the bank consolidated its branch network while staff downsizing is being carried out as planned. We expect the bank to achieve further cost-related synergies in 2015.

Sparebanken Sor reported NOK792 million profit for 2014, in line with 2013 (pro-forma) albeit with larger loan losses at NOK268 million. The net income-to-tangible assets ratio was at 0.9% at end-December 2014, and we expect a mild deterioration from these levels as a result of additional margin pressure and uncertainty over provisioning levels which is reflected in our assigned Profitability score.

## HIGH RELIANCE ON MARKET FUNDING, DESPITE RECENT INCREASE IN THE VOLUME OF DEPOSITS

Sparebanken Sor's funding is underpinned by a strong deposit base, which accounted for 56% of total funding at end-December 2014. Deposits grew significantly in 2014 (+10.3% pro-forma), largely reflecting the bank's focus on deposit gathering which we view positively, especially as both corporate and retail deposits witnessed similar growth rates.

Nevertheless, the bank remains reliant on market funding which accounted for 39% of the bank's tangible banking assets at end-December 2014, and which renders the bank susceptible to changes in investor behaviour. In particular, a sizeable and growing portion of market funds are in the form of covered bonds which provides the bank with an additional source of funding. Under our new methodology, we reflect the stability of covered bonds relative to unsecured market funding at a global level through a standard adjustment in our scorecard. However, we do not expect that, in terms of wise, Sparebanken Sor will have the capacity to make larger benchmark issuance and thus benefit from the depth of the markets. The bank's Funding Structure score reflects that its overall funding profile remains a fundamental weakness for Sparebanken Sor, as the use of covered bond funding results in the structural subordination of Sparebanken Sor's unsecured creditors, including depositors.

At end-December 2014, liquid assets accounted for around 12% of tangible assets, comprising cash and deposits with the central bank and the securities portfolio. The portfolio primarily includes Norwegian covered bonds, bonds from the government or other public entities as well as other issuers and a limited amount of equity investments. We note that these holdings are mostly Norwegian securities, which could be a source of vulnerability from a concentration-risk perspective but reduces the currency exposure.

## **Notching Considerations**

#### LOSS GIVEN FAILURE AND ADDITIONAL NOTCHING

We expect that Norway will seek to introduce legislation or other tools that include mechanisms similar to those in the EU Bank Resolution and Recovery Directive (BRRD). We assume residual tangible common equity of 3% and losses post-failure of 8% of tangible banking assets, a 25% run-off in "junior" wholesale deposits, a 5% run-off in preferred deposits, and assign a 25% probability to deposits being preferred to senior unsecured debt.

Our review of Sparebanken Sor's long-term deposit ratings will consider the likely impact on loss-given-failure of the combination of their own volume and the amount of debt subordinated to them. We expect this will result in Preliminary Rating Assessment (PRA) of two notches above the BCA, reflecting very low loss-given-failure.

#### **GOVERNMENT SUPPORT**

The expected implementation of resolution legislation has caused us to reconsider the probability that government support would benefit certain creditors.

Sparebanken Sor is a regional savings bank with a sound market position on the southern coast of Norway in the counties of Vest-Agder, Aust-Agder and Telemark where we estimate it commands combined market shares of almost 26% for lending and 35% for deposits. However, its national market share is limited - at around 2% (based on total lending and deposits by county and nationwide according to Statistics Norway). Headquartered in Kristiansand, Norway, Sparebanken Sor is the fourth-largest savings bank in Norway with total assets of NOK93.3 billion at end September 2014. Sparebanken Sor is the market leader in providing financial services to Christian organisations in Norway, largely as a result of its agreement with the Norwegian Christian Purchasing Organisation (KNIF).

Therefore, we regard the probability of government support for debt and deposits as moderate, which is likely to result in one notch of uplift to the PRA.

#### **Foreign Currency Deposit Rating**

The bank's foreign-currency deposit ratings are unconstrained, because Norway has a country ceiling of Aaa. Sparebanken Sor's foreign-currency deposit rating is A2 (rating under review for upgrade).

# About Moody's Bank Scorecard

Our Scorecard is designed to capture, express and explain in summary form our Rating Committee's judgment. When read in conjunction with our research, a fulsome presentation of our judgment is expressed. As a result, the output of our Scorecard may materially differ from that suggested by raw data alone (though it has been calibrated to avoid the frequent need for strong divergence). The Scorecard output and the individual scores are discussed in rating committees and may be adjusted up or down to reflect conditions specific to each rated entity.

## **Rating Factors**

#### Sparebanken Sor

Macro	Factors	
Weight	ed Macro Profile	Very Strong -

Financial Profile	1					
Factor	Historic Ratio	Macro Adjusted Score	Credit Trend	Assigned Score	Key driver #1	Key driver #2
Solvency Asset Risk						
Problem Loans / Gross Loans	1.8%	aa3	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$	baa1	Geographical concentration	Single name concentration
Capital TCE / RWA	13.2%	a2	$\leftarrow$ $\rightarrow$	a2		
Profitability	10.270	~-		<u> </u>		
Net Income / Tangible Assets	0.8%	baa1	$\downarrow$	baa2	Expected trend	
Combined Solvency Score		a2		а3		
Liquidity						
Funding Structure Market Funds / Tangible Banking Assets	39.2%	ba2	$\leftarrow$ $\rightarrow$	ba2	Extent of market funding reliance	
Liquid Resources						
Liquid Banking Assets / Tangible Banking Assets	12.3%	baa3	$\leftarrow$ $\rightarrow$	baa3	Stock of liquid assets	
Combined Liquidity Score		ba1		ba1		

Financial Profile		baa1
Qualitative Adjustments		Adjustment
Business		0
Diversification		
Opacity and		0
Complexity		
Corporate Behavior		0
Total Qualitative		0
Adjustments		
	_	
Sovereign or Affiliate		Aaa
constraint		
	_	
Scorecard Calculated		a3 - baa2
BCA range		
	_	
Assigned BCA		baa1
	_	
Affiliate Support		0
notching		
	_	
Adjusted BCA		baa1

Instrument Class	Loss Given Failure notching	Additional notching	Preliminary Rating Assessment	Government Support notching	Local Currency rating	Foreign Currency rating
Deposits					A2 RUR Possible	A2 RUR Possible
					Upgrade	Upgrade

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on <a href="http://www.moodys.com">http://www.moodys.com</a> for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.



© 2015 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE. AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing

the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

For Japan only: MOODY'S Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MOODY'S Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.